

01
02
03
04
05 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
06 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

07 JAMES A. BOYD,) CASE NO. C05-0020-JLR-MAT
08 Plaintiff,)
09 v.)
10 JOSEPH LEHMAN, et al.,) ORDER RE-NOTING DEFENDANTS'
11 Defendants.) MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
12

13 This is a civil rights action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 26, 2005,
14 defendants' filed a motion for summary judgment which was noted on the Court's calendar for
15 consideration on December 2, 2005. On November 7, 2005, plaintiff filed a motion to continue
16 defendants' motion for summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f). Plaintiff requested
17 therein an additional 60 days to respond to defendants' motion. Defendants' opposed this request.
18 On November 30, 2005, plaintiff filed a motion for leave to file excess pages in response to
19 defendants' summary judgment motion. Plaintiff filed a second motion for leave to file excess
20 pages on December 6, 2005, together with a 60 page response to defendants' summary judgment
21 motion, various supporting documents, and a notice of withdrawal of his motion to continue
22 defendants' summary judgment motion.

01 The Court, having reviewed the entirety of this record, does hereby ORDER as follows:

02 (1) Plaintiff's motions for leave to file excess pages (Dkt. Nos. 45 and 47) are
03 GRANTED. Plaintiff's over-length brief in opposition to defendants' motion for summary
04 judgment and all supporting documents have been made a part of the record.

05 (2) Plaintiff's motion to continue defendants' summary judgment motion for 60 days
06 (Dkt. No. 41) has been withdrawn at plaintiff's request. However, because plaintiff's response
07 to defendants' summary judgment motion was received by the Court after the original noting date
08 for that motion had passed, the Court deems it appropriate to continue defendants' summary
09 judgment motion for a brief period of time to allow defendants time to file a reply brief in support
10 of their motion should they choose to do so. Accordingly, defendants' summary judgment motion
11 (Dkt. No. 39) is RE-NOTED for consideration on **December 16, 2005**. Defendants shall file and
12 serve any reply brief in support of their motion by that date.

13 (3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for
14 defendants, and to the Honorable James L. Robart.

15 DATED this 8th day of December, 2005.

16 
17 Mary Alice Theiler
18 United States Magistrate Judge
19
20
21
22